

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Introduction | Not present or superficially covered. (0 Marks) | Relevant aspects of the selected systems are briefly introduced. The reasons for choosing the selected systems are explained well. (1 Mark) | | | |
| Background/History | Not present or superficially covered. (0 Marks) | A brief background and history for both systems is provided. (1 Mark) | A comprehensive background and history for both systems is provided. There is evidence that multiple sources were consulted. (2 Marks) | | |
| Operational Description | Not present or superficially covered. (0 Marks) | A brief explanation of the services provided by both systems is present. (1 Mark) | A comprehensive explanation of the services provided by both systems is present. Some simple comparisons between the two systems are provided. (2 Marks) | A comprehensive explanation of the services provided by both systems is provided. Comparisons between the two systems, which demonstrate a good understanding of the course material, are provided. There is evidence that multiple sources were consulted. (3 Marks) | |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Technical Description | Not present or superficially covered. (0 Marks) | A brief description of some technical aspects of both systems is provided. (1 Mark) | A brief description of the majority of the technical aspects of both systems is provided. (2 Marks) | A comprehensive description of the majority of the technical aspects of both systems is provided. (3 Marks) | A comprehensive description of the majority of the technical aspects of both systems is provided. Explanations of the reasons for choosing particular system parameters, which demonstrate a good understanding of the course material, are provided. (4 Marks) |
| Link Budgets | Not present or superficially covered. (0 Marks) | Partial link budgets for both systems are provided. (1 Mark) | Full link budgets for both systems are provided but there are some mistakes in calculations or estimated parameters. (2 Marks) | Full link budgets with no obvious mistakes are provided for both systems. (3 Marks) | Full link budgets with no obvious mistakes are provided for both systems for multiple operational scenarios. (4 Marks) |
| Technical Comparison | Not present or superficially covered. (0 Marks) | Some simple comparisons between some of the technical aspects are provided. (1 Mark) | Some simple comparisons between the majority of the relevant technical aspects are provided. (2 Marks) | Comparisons between the majority of the relevant technical aspects, which demonstrate a good understanding of the course material, are provided. (3 Marks) | |
| Future Developments | Not present or superficially | A brief explanation of the planned future | A comprehensive explanation of the | | |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | covered. (0 Marks) | developments of both systems is provided. (1 Mark) | planned future developments of both systems is provided. There is evidence that multiple sources were consulted. (2 Marks) | | |
| Overall Comparison and Conclusions | Not present or superficially covered. (0 Marks) | A summary of the operational and technical comparisons made in the assignment is provided. Conclusions relating to the reasons, given in the introduction, for comparing the two systems are provided. (1 Mark) | | | |