

	No marks	1/4 marks	1/2 marks	3/4 marks	Full marks
PROJECT SCHEDULE (15%)	The project schedule is absent or, if present, shows that the team has no understanding of the planned progress of the project.	Some of the following were present: A project schedule with staged outcomes. A description of the testing to be carried out. General risks have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been briefly discussed. Some general strategies to establish whether the project is on schedule have been briefly discussed.	A project schedule with staged outcomes has been developed. Testing of some functional components has been incorporated in the project schedule. General risks have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been briefly discussed. Some general strategies to establish whether the project is on schedule have been briefly discussed.	A project schedule with staged outcomes has been developed. Testing of most functional components has been incorporated in the project schedule. Some specific risks have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been clearly explained. Some specific strategies to establish whether the project is on schedule have been clearly explained.	A clear project schedule with staged outcomes has been developed. Testing of all key functional components has been incorporated in the project schedule. The risks for all activities have been identified, and alternative solutions or strategies have been clearly explained. A thorough range of strategies to establish whether the project is on schedule have been clearly explained.
FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS (30%)	Absent or simple rewording of the project specifications.	Most functional requirements are missing. The required functionality and performance for most requirements is not clearly explained. The verification procedure for most requirements is not clearly explained. The rational for most requirements is not clearly explained.	Some functional requirements are missing. The required functionality and performance for most requirements is clearly explained. The verification procedure for most requirements is clearly explained. The rational for most requirements is clearly explained.	A sufficient list of functional requirements is included. The required functionality and performance for all requirements is clearly explained. The verification procedure for all requirements is clearly explained. The rational for all requirements is clearly explained.	A comprehensive list of functional requirements is included. The required functionality and performance for all requirements is clearly explained. The verification procedure for all requirements is clearly explained and justified. The rational for all requirements is clearly explained.
EXTERNAL INTERFACES (20%)	Absent or simple rewording of the project specifications.	Most external interfaces are missing. The requirements for most external interfaces are not clearly explained. The verification procedure for most external interface requirements is not clearly explained and justified. The rational for most external interfaces is not clearly explained.	Some external interfaces are missing. The requirements for most external interfaces are clearly explained. The verification procedure for most external interface requirements is clearly explained and justified. The rational for most external interfaces is clearly explained.	A sufficient list of external interfaces is included. The requirements for all external interfaces are clearly explained. The verification procedure for all external interface requirements is clearly explained and justified. The rational for all external interfaces is clearly explained.	A comprehensive list of external interfaces is included. The requirements for all external interfaces are clearly explained. The verification procedure for all external interface requirements is clearly explained and justified. The rational for all external interfaces is clearly explained.
CONSTRAINTS (10%)	Absent or simple rewording of the project specifications.	Most design and construction constraints are missing. Most constraints are not clearly explained.	Some design and construction constraints are missing. Most constraints are clearly explained.	A sufficient list of design and construction constraints is included. All constraints are clearly explained.	A comprehensive list of design and construction constraints is included. All constraints are clearly explained.
QUALITY FACTORS (10%)	Absent or simple rewording of the project specifications.	Most system quality factors are missing. Most quality factors are not clearly explained.	Some system quality factors are missing. Most quality factors are clearly explained.	A sufficient list of system quality factors is included. All quality factors are clearly explained.	A comprehensive list of system quality factors is included. All quality factors are clearly explained.
PRESENTATION (15%)	Graphs and diagrams were not clearly labelled. OR Figures were not clearly formatted and were not of an appropriate size. OR Many grammatical and typographical errors were present. OR The report was not clearly formatted with inappropriate font sizes and poor use of space around text, headings and figures. OR Word limits were exceeded.		Most graphs and diagrams were clearly labelled. Most figures were clearly formatted and of an appropriate size. A few grammatical and typographical errors were present. Most of the report was clearly formatted with appropriate font sizes and space provided around text, headings and figures. Word limits were not exceeded.		All graphs and diagrams were clearly labelled. All figures were clearly formatted and of an appropriate size. No grammatical and typographical errors were present. The report was clearly formatted with appropriate font sizes and space provided around text, headings and figures. Word limits were not exceeded.